

ED 401 997

PS 023 762

AUTHOR Smith, Ellen W.; Droege, Kristin L.
TITLE Group-Level versus Dyadic-Level Measurement of
Caregiver Sensitivity in Child Care.
PUB DATE Apr 95
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the
Society for Research in Child Development
(Indianapolis, IN, March 30-April 2, 1995).
PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -
Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Caregiver Child Relationship; Day Care; Family Day
Care; *Measurement; Measurement Objectives;
*Measurement Techniques; Observation; Parent Child
Relationship; Research Methodology
IDENTIFIERS *Caregiver Attitudes; Caregiver Behavior; *Caregiver
Evaluation; Caregiver Response

ABSTRACT

Adult sensitivity toward young children has long been considered an important component of quality caregiving. Because most studies of child caregiver sensitivity have measured behavior toward a group of children, knowledge of important differences in behavior toward individual children, as well as a way to compare mother and "other" care, are lacking. This study investigated the relation between observational items developed to assess mother care and measures traditionally used to assess child care providers, specifically the group-level Arnett Scale of Caregiver Sensitivity. Subjects were 23 licensed family child care providers. They were observed on a typical day care morning using the Arnett scale and the Family Day Care Rating Scale; to assess the sensitivity of a caregiver to an individual target child, an observational tool developed as part of the Child Outcome Study (COS) of the National JOBS (Job Opportunities and Basic Skills program Evaluation) was used. Results showed a significant correlation between the Arnett subscales and the COS sensitivity factor. This outcome, considered along with Oldham's (1995) work, supports the importance of examining dyad-level caregiver behavior as well as group-level behavior. (EV)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy.

ED 401 997

Group-Level Versus Dyadic-Level Measurement of Caregiver
Sensitivity in Child Care

Ellen W. Smith and Kristin L. Droege
University of California, Los Angeles

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

E. W. Smith

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Poster presented at the Biennial Meetings of the Society for
Research in Child Development, March 30 - April 2, 1995,
Indianapolis, IN.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PS 023762

Group-Level Versus Dyadic-Level Measurement of Caregiver Sensitivity in Child Care

Adult sensitivity toward young children has long been considered an important component of quality caregiving, in both the parent-child and child care provider-child relationship. Extant research demonstrates the relation between adult sensitivity and child outcomes, particularly within child care settings (Whitebook, Howes, & Phillips, 1990).

Most studies of child caregiver sensitivity have assessed sensitivity with the Arnett (1989) scale of caregiver sensitivity. The Arnett scale is an observational measure of caregiver behavior toward a group of children, not toward a specific child. The Arnett scale yields three scores: sensitivity, detachment and harshness.

Lack of observational data on caregiver sensitivity toward a target child represents a serious gap in the literature for two main reasons. First, it is reasonable to assume that caregivers do not behave in the same manner toward all children in their care. Summary measures of caregiver sensitivity toward a group of children may mask important differences in caregiver behavior toward individual children. Second, development of a measure of adult sensitivity toward a target child can be used to observe parents and child care providers. Progress toward a common metric by which to assess mother and child care provider behavior toward young children is highly desirable. A common

metric could provide important information on the comparability of mother and "other" care.

The current study investigated the relation between observational items developed to assess mother care and measures traditionally used to assess child care providers. More specifically, among family child care providers, it was of interest to examine the relation between the group-level Arnett scale and observational items developed to assess mother care, but were believed to relate in expected ways with the Arnett. Because family child care is provided within homes, this context provided a unique forum in which to explore these issues.

Subjects

Twenty-three licensed family child care providers who had recently completed a training class participated in the current study. These providers represent a subsample of a larger study of family child care providers (Kontos, Howes, Shinn & Galinsky, 1995).

Measures

Several observational measures were used in the current study. To assess caregiver sensitivity toward the group of children, the Arnett (1989) Scale of Caregiver Sensitivity was used. To assess the quality of the family child care programs, the Harms and Clifford (1989) Family Day Care Rating Scale (FDCERS) was used.

Alternative observational items were developed as part of the Child Outcome Study (COS) of the National JOBS

Evaluation (Moore, Zaslow, Coiro, Miller & Magenheim, 1994). The COS is a study of recipients of Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and their preschoolers. The observational items developed for the COS were designed for use by survey researchers as they conducted in-home interviews and child assessments. Several of the COS items were taken from the Caldwell and Bradley (1984) HOME scale. Other items were specifically designed for the COS. All COS items were rated on a 0 - 10 scale, with 10 representing the most desirable behavior. The COS items were used as a supplement to questionnaire and observational measures. The COS items were used to measure the sensitivity of a caregiver toward an individual target child, in contrast to the group focus of the Arnett Scale.

Procedures

Each family child care provider was observed by one researcher on a typical day care morning as part of a larger study on family child care (Kontos, Howes, Shinn & Galinsky, 1995). Observers took notes while in the setting, and completed their measures immediately following the observation.

Results

The 17 COS items were subjected to a factor analysis with varimax rotation, yielding two factors: sensitivity and environmental cleanliness (see Table 1). Pearson correlations revealed significant, expected correlations between the COS sensitivity factor and the Arnett sensitivity

and detachment subscales (see Table 2). No significant relations were found between the cleanliness factor and the other measures.

Discussion and Implications

This study represents a preliminary attempt at illustrating the need for common metrics on which to assess mother-child and caregiver-child interactions and relationships. In addition, the significant, expected correlations between the Arnett subscales and COS sensitivity factor suggests the importance of examining group-level and dyad-level caregiver behavior toward children.

Recent research by Oldham (1995) demonstrates the power of dyad-level caregiver sensitivity in predicting child outcomes. Oldham (1995) reworded the Arnett scale so that each item addresses the caregiver's behavior toward an individual child. She completed the group-level and dyad-level Arnett scales on center-based child care teachers. In addition, she completed the Waters and Deane (1985) Attachment Q-Set on multiple target children within each classroom with the same caregiver. She found that the dyad-level Arnett scale, specifically the detachment subscale, was a much better predictor of child attachment security than was data from the group-level Arnett scale. In fact, contrary to previous research (Whitebook, Howes & Phillips, 1990), the group-level Arnett did not significantly predict children's attachment security scores.

Our work, taken together with the work of Oldham (1995), suggests that merit clearly lies in addressing the behavior of individual caregivers toward individual children. In order to address and assess the individual child's experience with a caregiver, whether in the home or within a group child care context, more methodological work needs to be done. In addition, it is important and illuminating to continue to assess both the dyad and group levels to further understand the unique contributions each type of caregiver behavior makes toward children's well being.

Table 1. Individual items comprising factors from COS

Factor 1: Sensitivity

Caregiver was extremely friendly, pleasant
Caregiver was completely focused on tasks, attentive
Caregiver was extremely warm, loving, affectionate to child
Caregiver's voice conveyed very positive feelings about child
Caregiver spoke to child in complete, complex sentences
Child spontaneously made positive attempts to get caregiver's attention

Factor 2: Cleanliness

All visible rooms of house/apartment were extremely clean
There is no clutter in any of the visible rooms of house/apartment

Table 2. Correlation between COS factors and other measures.

	Arnett sensitivity	Arnett detachment	Global/average FDCERS score
COS factors			
Sensitivity	.59**	-.75**	.46*
Cleanliness	.03	-.04	-.001

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$

References

- Arnett, J. (1989). Caregivers in child care centers: Does training matter? Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 10(4), 541-552.
- Caldwell, B., & Bradley, R. (1984). Home observation for measurement of the environment. Revised edition. Little Rock, AR: University of Kansas.
- Harms, T., & Clifford, R. (1989). The family day care rating scale. New York: Teachers College Press, Columbia University.
- Kontos, S., Howes, C., Shinn, M., & Galinsky, E. (1995). Quality in family child care & relative care. New York: Teachers College Press, Columbia University.
- Moore, K.A., Zaslow, M.J., Coiro, M.J., Miller, S.M., & Magenheimer, E. (1994). How well do they fare? AFDC families with preschool children at the outset of the JOBS program. Washington, DC: Child Trends, Inc.
- Oldham, E. E. (1995). Classroom change and attachment relationships. Unpublished master's thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Waters, E., & Deane, K.E. (1985). Defining and assessing individual differences in attachment relationships: Q-methodology and the organization of behavior in infancy and early childhood. In I. Bretherton and E. Waters (Eds.), Growing points of attachment theory and research, Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 50 (1-2, Serial No. 209), pp. 41-65.
- Whitebook, M., Howes, C., & Phillips, D.A. (1990). Who cares? Child care teachers and the quality of care in America (Final report of the National Child Care Staffing Study). Oakland, CA: Child Care Employee Project.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Group level versus dyadic level measurement of Caresiver sensitivity in child case	
Author(s): Ellen W Smith + Kristin L Droege	
Corporate Source: UCLA	Publication Date: SRCD 4/95

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ Sample _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
--

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ Sample _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
--

Level 2



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical),
but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: [Signature]	Printed Name/Position/Title: Ellen Smith graduate student		
Organization/Address: UCLA School of Education 405 Hilgard Ave Los Angeles CA 90024-1521	Telephone: 818 591 1138	FAX:	Date: 11/14/96

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

Karen E. Smith
Acquisitions Coordinator
ERIC/EECE
805 W. Pennsylvania Ave.
Urbana, IL 61801-4897

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>